

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,673	07/16/2003	Hidemasa lijima	2003-0972A	7051	
513	7590 08/17/2006		EXAMINER		
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			HALPERN, MARK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1731		
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				,			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/619,673	IIJIMA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark Halpern	1731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exte afte - If NC - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Au	<u>ugust 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 17, 18 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey ion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 Cf				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	/ Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other: _		, .52,			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 8/7/2006. Claims 1-16 are cancelled and new claims 17-18 are offered for consideration.

- 2) Claims 1-8 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oechsle (DE 19956752), is withdrawn in view of cancelled claims.
- 3) Claims 9-16 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oechsle, with or without Weitzel (DE 9207656) and Sollinger (6,024,836), is withdrawn in view of cancelled claims.
- 4) Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of cancelled claims.

## Election/Restrictions

- 5) Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claim 17, drawn to a paper machine, classified in class 162, subclass 272.
  - II. Claim 18, drawn to a method of controlling web formation in a paper machine, classified in class 162, subclass 198.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/619,673

Art Unit: 1731

806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another r and materially different process, for example, making tobacco sheets.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/619,673

Art Unit: 1731

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Halpern

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731